

MARIO N. ALIOTO, ESQ. (56433)
LAUREN C. CAPURRO, ESQ. (241151)
TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP
2001 Union Street, Suite 482
San Francisco, CA 94123
Telephone: (415) 563-7200
Facsimile: (415) 346-0679
E-mail: malioto@tatp.com
lauren russell@tatp.com

Lead Counsel for the Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT))	MASTER FILE NO. 4:07-cv-5944-JST
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	Case No.: 4:17-cv-04067-JST
_____)	
This Document Relates to:)	MDL NO. 1917
<i>ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS</i>)	
<i>Luscher, et al. v. Mitsubishi Electric Corp.,</i>)	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
No. 4:17-cv-04067-JST)	MOTION TO APPROVE
)	DISTRIBUTION OF RESIDUAL
)	SETTLEMENT FUNDS **AS
)	MODIFIED**

Re: ECF No. 6508

1 Having considered the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' ("IPPs") Motion to Approve
2 Distribution of Residual Settlement Funds, ECF No. 6508, the supporting materials and other
3 papers on file in this action, the statements of counsel and the parties, and the lack of opposition
4 to the motion, the Court finds this matter suitable for resolution without oral argument. *See* Fed.
5 R. Civ. P. 78(b); Civil L.R. 7-1(b). The Court hereby GRANTS the motion as follows:

6 1. The Court authorizes IPP Counsel to pay IPPs' economic experts, ApplEcon,
7 \$72,190.63 from the residual settlement funds for work performed on behalf of IPPs in 2015 and
8 2016. The services performed by ApplEcon were necessary and reasonable, and the charges for its
9 services are the type of expense that is routinely charged to paying clients in a case like this.

10 2. The Court authorizes the payment of \$160,535 from the residue to the Settlement
11 Administrator for administering the Mitsubishi Electric Settlement and distributing settlement
12 funds to claimants during the period from January 1, 2025, through September 30, 2025.

13 3. The Court authorizes the reservation of \$24,958 from the residue to pay the
14 Settlement Administrator for services and costs anticipated through the conclusion of the
15 administration of the Mitsubishi Electric Settlement.


16 4. The Court finds that further distributions of settlement funds to claimants are
17 infeasible because each claimant's recovery would be *de minimis*. Therefore, the next best use for
18 the residual settlement funds in this case is to distribute them *cy prè*s to a charitable organization
19 that will benefit absent class members.

20 5. The Court finds that the American Antitrust Institute ("AAI") is an appropriate *cy*
21 *prè*s recipient in this case. AAI is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit organization devoted to promoting
22 competition that protects consumers, businesses, and society through research, education, and
23 advocacy nationally. AAI's work has a close nexus to the nature of this litigation, which alleged
24 domestic injury to U.S. consumers and businesses from an international price-fixing conspiracy in
25 violation of federal and state antitrust, consumer protection, and unfair competition laws.
26 Therefore, the *cy prè*s distribution of the residual settlement funds in this case to AAI will benefit
27 absent class members.

1 6. The Court authorizes the payment of all residual settlement funds to the American
2 Antitrust Institute in the amount of approximately \$1,302,929.64, less any further taxes and
3 administrative fees.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: December 23, 2025



Hon. Jon S. Tigar
United States District Judge